UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : Case No. 3:22-cr-118

Plaintiff,

: District Judge Michael J. Newman

vs. : Magistrate Judge Peter B. Silvain, Jr.

JUSTIN WOLESLAGLE,

.

Defendant.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS¹

This case came before the Court for a plea hearing via GoToMeeting on February 28, 2023.

Assistant United States Attorney Timothy Landry appeared and represented the Government.

Defendant was present at the hearing via video and was represented by Attorney Matthew Suellentrop.

Prior to the hearing, the parties entered into a proposed plea agreement pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(A), which agreement has been filed of record. (Doc. #21). Under the terms of the plea agreement, Defendant agreed to plead guilty as charged in Counts 1 and 2 of the Indictment currently pending against him, which charges him with being a felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2), and committing social security number fraud, in violation of 43 U.S.C. § 408(a)(7)(B).

During the plea hearing, the undersigned had the opportunity to address Defendant in open court and to inform Defendant of all rights and privileges as set forth in Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1). Further, the undersigned carefully inquired of Defendant regarding his understanding of the

¹ Attached is a NOTICE to the parties regarding objections to this Report and Recommendations.

Case: 3:22-cr-00118-MJN Doc #: 24 Filed: 02/28/23 Page: 2 of 3 PAGEID #: 52

agreement, as well as his competence to understand the agreement. Having fully inquired, the

undersigned Judicial Officer finds that Defendant's tendered plea of guilty as charged in Counts 1

and 2 of the Indictment was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary. Additionally, based upon the

statement of facts, which were read into the record and affirmed by Defendant, the undersigned

finds that there is a sufficient factual basis for finding that Defendant is in fact guilty of being a

felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2), and

committing social security number fraud, in violation of 43 U.S.C. § 408(a)(7)(B).

Based upon the foregoing, it is **RECOMMENDED** that the District Court accept

Defendant's plea of guilty as charged in Counts 1 and 2 of the Indictment currently pending against

him and find him guilty as charged of being a felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of Title

18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2), and committing social security number fraud, in violation

of 43 U.S.C. § 408(a)(7)(B).

Pending the Court's acceptance of Defendant's guilty plea, Defendant has been referred to

the Probation Department for a pre-sentence investigation and report.

February 28, 2023

s/Peter B. Silvain, Jr.

Peter B. Silvain, Jr.

United States Magistrate Judge

2

NOTICE REGARDING OBJECTIONS

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b)(2), any party may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations within **FOURTEEN** days after being served with this Report and Recommendations. Such objections shall specify the portions of the Report objected to and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law in support of the objections. If the Report and Recommendation is based in whole or in part upon matters occurring of record at an oral hearing, the objecting party shall promptly arrange for the transcription of the record, or such portions of it as all parties may agree upon or the Magistrate Judge deems sufficient, unless the assigned District Judge otherwise directs. A party may respond to another party's objections within **FOURTEEN** days after being served with a copy thereof.

Failure to make objections in accordance with this procedure may forfeit rights on appeal. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).